

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/686,123	10/14/2003	Jayshree Seth .	58659US002	7617	
32692 75	590 12/08/2006		EXAMINER		
3M INNOVA	TIVE PROPERTIES CO	COLE, ELIZABETH M			
PO BOX 33427	7				
ST. PAUL, M	N 55133-3427	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1771		
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/09/200	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/686,123	SETH ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771 .	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba îdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		ducing or cimplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			me issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)):		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		imphant / whendinent	(1 10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other avidence filed after the date of filing	a Nation of Annual but prior to the	data of filing a bring	will mad ha

The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12.	□ Note the attached	Information Disclos	sure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	

13. Other: _____.

Elizabe)h M. Cole Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1771

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that there is no teaching on how to hydroentangle the material of Schortmann. However, since the hydroentangling in Schortmann is to combine the materials and since in Kacher the materials are already combined, there would be no reason to hydroentangle the material of Schortmann. Schortmann is relied on for the teaching of embedding the cleaning strips, such as those taught by Kacher into a nonwoven.